

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/631,937	07/31/2003		Mark J. Levine	930009-2011	9678
20999	7590	12/17/2004		EXAMINER	
		ENCE & HAUG	LONEY, DONALD J		
745 FIFTH NEW YOR		- 10TH FL. 0151		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,			1772	

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		- H)					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/631,937	LEVINE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Donald Loney	1772					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appearing for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repletion of the provision of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) o will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fre e, cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 	wn from consideration.	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		Formula					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Application ty documents have been received tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	•	***					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa	rv (PTO-413)					
 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 04/26/04. 	Paper No(s)/Mail						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: The "stuffers" recited in claims 22 and 23 are not included in the specification.

Claim Objections

2. Claims 3 and 18 are objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 3 there is no direct antecedent basis for "the v-guide" since in claim 1 it is referred to as only a guide. In claim 18, line 1, it appears the last word thereof should be "said" and not "a" as in claims 16 and 17. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 22 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As stated above, the specification does not mention or describe what "stuffers" are; therefore, it is unclear as to the meaning and/or structure thereof.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-5,9-13 and 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Schiel et al (5302251).

Schiel et al teaches a belt wherein the fabric layer (23,24) is completely embedded in jacket material (22). The crests 27 can be considered the guide members. Refer to figure 2 and 6 along with column 4, line 65 through column 5, line 54.

7. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kiuchi (4559258).

Kiuchi teaches a belt that contains two coatings thereon. They both appear to encapsulate about 50% of the fabric as stated in instant claims 1 and 18. The projections 13 (i.e. guides can be V-shaped). Refer to figure 2 along with column 3, line 67 through column 4, line 68.

8. Claims 1, 2 and 5-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagura et al (5840378).

Nagura et al teaches a belt containing a fabric 2-6 containing a V-guide 8 at the ends of the belt that fills in at least 85% of the fabric structure. Claims 3 and 4 have been excluded from this rejection since they recite encapsulating the fabric structure while claim 1 only refers to the fabric caliper (i.e. thickness). Element 7 can be

Art Unit: 1772

considered the coating of claim 18. Refer to figure 12 along with column 2, lines 17-43, column 5, lines 41-59 and column 6, lines 38-48.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Loney whose telephone number is (571) 272-1493. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon, Tues, Thurs and Fri. 8AM-4PM, flex schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Donald Loney **Primary Examiner**

Page 4

Art Unit 1772

DJL:D.Loney 12/13/04